

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). <u> </u>	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/693,458		10/27/2003	Brian E. Joseph	07620001C1	2930
48642	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIP D. LANE				MILLER, DANIEL H	
P.O. BOX 79318 CHARLOTTE, NC 28271-7063		28271-7063	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
	,	•		1775	
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	
1'	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/693,458	JOSEPH, BRIAN E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel Miller	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Oc	ctober 2006.				
	_				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-34</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the □	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
		·			
Attachmont(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application .			

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 21-30, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rogers et al (US 6,656,238).
- 3. Rogers teaches a coal-based carbon foam (abstract) having a thermal conductivity below 1 W/m/K (ref. Claim 1). The foam can be laminated with aluminum or other protective layers and used as a thermal protective system (column 6 line 10-41). The carbon foam has a density of between about 0.05 and about 0.1 g/cc (ref. Claim 1), which is an overlapping range. The compressive stress is below about 6000psi (ref. claim 3). Regarding claim 25, the foam can be carbonized (column 5 line 52-63). Regarding claim 26, the foam can comprise petroleum pitch, epoxy, or polymer additives (column 6 line 33-40). Regarding claims 27-28, inert solid material such as ceramic particles can be added to further minimize expansion of the foam (column 5 line 45-51). Regarding claim 34, the carbon foam can be used as a bulkhead or for sound dampening, therefore would inherently be positioned over a structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,458 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers in view of Kourtides (US 4,598,007).
- 6. Rogers, discussed above, teaches all the elements of claims 31-33, but is silent as to the antioxidant protective layer being a glass-forming compound consisting of a metal halide nitride or carbide, or the antioxidant protective layer being incorporated into the carbon foam.
- 7. Kourtides teaches a fire resistant graphite (carbon) composite, with a porous honeycombed center and facesheet protective layers (see abstract and figure 1). The facesheet can be made from a resin, glass fibers, and boron nitride additives, which will inherently form glass like coatings. The glass facesheet gives a more fire resistant properties.
- 8. Therefore since both Rogers and Kourtides teach the advantage of fire resistance, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the facesheet (protective layer) of Kourtides in order to improve fire resistance of Rogers in fire retardant applications.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,458

Art Unit: 1775

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Miller whose telephone number is (571)272-1534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,458 Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571)272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Miller

JENNIFER MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER